

spite that, drawing fat fees, and smearing him still further in advance of the final outcome? How much money have you made out of this trial (articles, speaking fees, etc.) already? That's what it's about, isn't it – money?

8 DO YOU THINK IT RIGHT TO PAY SOME of your so called “neutral” expert witnesses a quarter of a million dollars in inducements to testify in your favour? Those six million dollars put up by Steven Spielberg – you are a religious scholar: Have you never heard the Bible say, “Good wine needs no bush”? If your case is rock solid why was so much money swilling around that London courtroom? [Internet link: <http://www.fpp.co.uk/Legal/Penguin/experts/payments.html>]

9 A NEW ZEALAND ACADEMIC TRIBUNAL has just found that Prof. Richard Evans, your chief witness, was guilty of

grossly distorting, misquoting, exaggerating, and polemicizing in his similar “expert report” on Dr. Joel Hayward, and totally lacking in the objectivity that is expected by a court of an expert witness. How do you feel about Evans now?

[Internet link: <http://www.fpp.co.uk/Legal/Penguin/experts/Evans/NZReportExtract.html>]

10 HAVE YOU READ NORMAN FINKELSTEIN's book, *The Holocaust Industry*. Is he a racist and anti-Semite too?

11 YOU HAVE ARGUED IN YOUR BOOKS and articles that Jews should never stoop to marrying outside their religion and race. Is that not racism in its purest form?

12 WHO PAID YOUR OWN MULTI-million dollar legal expenses, and why did the British High Court, unusually, not allow you to reclaim them?

FOR the truth about the Nazi persecution of the Jews and the rest of World War II, read David Irving's two great biographies, **Hitler's War** and **Churchill's War**, vol. i and vol. ii, both available in bookstores and as free downloads on the Internet at <http://www.fpp.co.uk/books>.

Or come and hear David Irving speak. Ask him questions the next time he is in town. He does not refuse to debate.

WHAT TO DO? You can't access a website because some busybody has installed one of those mind-numbing filters (SurfWatch, Cyber Patrol, Net Nanny, CYBERSitter, X-Stop, PureSight and Cyber Snoop) on your college computer? Easily disable that blocking software! Visit <http://www.peacefire.org>

The Truth about the Nazi Holocaust



Where it all happened *Modern tourists (bottom right) view Auschwitz's Crematorium II, in which according to the experts the Nazis killed half a million. The reinforced concrete roof of Morgue No. 1 (top) has pancaked downwards, but is still intact.*

WE are often asked to suggest questions to put to Professor Debbie Lipstadt in her frequent appearances around the world

... here are just a few:

1 **Prof. Lipstadt** – WHY WERE YOU afraid to go into the witness box and expose yourself even to the questioning of an amateur like Mr. Irving? You effectively pleaded the Fifth Amendment, which is the traditional route of those with something to hide. (Mr. Irving subjected himself voluntarily to three weeks' cross examination by one of the world's leading trial lawyers, and he voluntarily made available to you his entire private and public papers.)

2 ARE YOU AWARE THAT ONE OF YOUR main researchers, Dr. David Cesarani, said that Mr. Irving gave the defence some scary moments? Particularly when their chief expert witness on



the architecture of Auschwitz, Prof. Van Pelt, proved unable to explain what had happened to the bodies (i.e. the logistics of disposing, for example, of 450,000 Hungarian Jews' bodies in three weeks – around 50,000 tons of corpses, by a small *Sonderkommando* in one crematory building) [Internet link: see <http://www.fpp.co.uk/docs/trial3/DieZeit120400e.html>]

3 WHY DID YOU NOT ACCEPT DAVID IRVING'S challenge, made three times publicly in the courtroom, that he would halt the case in mid-trial if you could find any evidence of the holes in the roof slab of Crematorium II at Auschwitz – which still exists – through which “eye witnesses” in the pay of war-crimes prosecution teams claimed to have seen SS officers tipping the Zyklon B granules? Your own chief

witness Van Pelt said there are no such holes in the slab, so *somebody* lied, right?

4 WHY ARE YOU AFRAID OF FREE DEBATE? You have said there *is* no debate, but when push came to shove your highly paid Queen's Counsel felt it necessary to fill the courtroom every day for three months with over thirty lawyers, counsel, barristers, assistants, historians, and researchers, just to stand up against Mr. Irving, who appeared in court alone. Was your case really that weak?

5 WHY HAVE YOU AND YOUR FRIENDS done all you can to muzzle Mr. Irving – by putting pressure on publishers, broadcasters, television companies, and governments not to allow him to speak or to publish his widely acknowledged books? What are you scared of?

6 IN A TRIAL WHICH WAS ABOUT A VERY serious matter, why did you instruct your counsel to resort to smear tactics, branding Mr. Irving as an anti-Semite and a racist (although he, unlike your Counsel, frequently employs ethnic minorities as his personal staff), and although neither allegation was made in your book or pleaded in your initial Defence? Were you frightened of fighting the case on the facts of history?

7 ARE YOU AWARE THAT MR IRVING'S case is under appeal? How do you feel about going around the world de-